

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

KEYLESS LICENSING LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00526 (Patent 9,304,602 B2)  
IPR2025-00527 (Patent 10,976,922 B2)  
IPR2025-00528 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)  
IPR2025-00529 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)

---

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE,<sup>1</sup> *Acting Deputy Chief Administrative  
Patent Judge.*

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review

---

<sup>1</sup> Coke Morgan Stewart, Acting Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, is recused and took no part in this decision. The Acting Director has delegated her authority in a Notice of Delegation. *See* <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/deshpande-delegation-letter.pdf>.

IPR2025-00526 (Patent 9,304,602 B2)  
IPR2025-00527 (Patent 10,976,922 B2)  
IPR2025-00528 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)  
IPR2025-00529 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)

Keyless Licensing LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a request for discretionary denial (Paper 8, “DD Req.”) in the above-captioned cases, and Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed an opposition (Paper 10, “DD Opp.”).<sup>2</sup>

After considering the parties’ arguments and the record, and in view of all relevant considerations, discretionary denial of institution is appropriate in these proceedings. This determination is based on the totality of the evidence and arguments the parties have presented.

In particular, the projected final written decision due date for each of these cases is October 9, 2026. DD Req. 6. The district court’s scheduled trial date is April 20, 2026, and the time-to-trial statistics suggest trial will begin in May 2026. DD Req. 3–4. As such, it is unlikely that a final written decision in these proceedings will issue before district court trial occurs. Additionally, there is insufficient evidence that the district court is likely to stay its proceeding even if the Board were to institute trial, and there has been meaningful investment in the parallel proceeding by the parties. *Id.* at 2–3, 5–6. For example, the parties are engaged in the *Markman* process and fact discovery is nearly closed. *Id.* at 5–6.

Although certain arguments are highlighted above, the determination to exercise discretion to deny institution is based on a holistic assessment of all of the evidence and arguments presented. Accordingly, the Petitions are denied under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

---

<sup>2</sup> Citations are to papers in IPR2025-00526. The parties filed similar papers in IPR2025-00527, IPR2025-00528, and IPR2025-00529.

IPR2025-00526 (Patent 9,304,602 B2)  
IPR2025-00527 (Patent 10,976,922 B2)  
IPR2025-00528 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)  
IPR2025-00529 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)

ORDERED that Patent Owner's request for discretionary denial is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petitions are *denied*, and no trial is instituted.

IPR2025-00526 (Patent 9,304,602 B2)  
IPR2025-00527 (Patent 10,976,922 B2)  
IPR2025-00528 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)  
IPR2025-00529 (Patent 11,503,144 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Joshua Goldberg  
Kevin Rodkey  
Tyler Akagi  
Daniel Cooley  
Chen Zang  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com  
kevin.rodkey@finnegan.com  
tyler.akagi@finnegan.com  
daniel.cooley@finnegan.com  
chen.zang@finnegan.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David Alberti  
Sal Lim  
Jason Fitzsimmons  
Richard Bemben  
Michael Specht  
Jennifer Chagnon  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC  
dalberti@krameralberti.com  
slim@feinday.com  
jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com  
rbemben-ptab@sternekessler.com  
mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com  
jchagnon-ptab@sternekessler.com